## **Original Article**

Different anthropometric adiposity measures and their association with cardiovascular disease risk factors in middle aged women

## Pallavi S. Kanthe, Shrilaxmi Bagali, Gouhar Banu Shaikh, Sumangala M. Patil, Bheemshetty S. Patil and Manjunatha R. Aithala\*

Department of Physiology, Shri B. M. Patil Medical College, BLDE University, Bangaramma Sajjan Campus, Solapur Road, Bijapur – 586 103, Karnataka

## Abstract

A cross sectional study of 136 women age group40-55 years was conducted to study which anthropometric measure had the strongest association with cardiovascular disease risk factors in middle aged women. In accordance with their BMI measurement subjects were divided into three groups namely:Normal weight (Group1) BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, Overweight (Group2) – 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> – 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, Obese (Group3) – BMI > 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Each group was further divided into premenopausal and postmenopausal women BMI, WHR, WHtR, SBP, DBP were recorded. TG, TC, HDL and FBS values were estimated.

Results showed that both pre and post menopausal middle aged obese women with higher BMI, WHR and WHtR have more chances of having cardiovascular diseases. BMI, WHR and WHtR are theeasy and practical methods to diagnose obesity and together can be used as simple measures to predict cardiovascular risk factors in middle aged women.

## Introduction

Obesity is now so common within the world's population that it is beginning to overcome under nutrition and infectious diseases as the most important hand to ill health (1).

#### \*Corresponding author :

(Received on August 04, 2014)

The World Health Organization has recently defined obesity as a disease (2). Obesity is the result of imbalance between food intake and energy expenditure (3). Obese individuals with excess fat in the abdominal depots are at risk of adverse health results, carrying higher levels of risk for CHD, Type II diabetes and hypertension (4). However, the relative importance of overall and abdominal obesity is still debatable. Abdominal or central adiposity is considered the most important determinant of cardiovascular disease and Type 2 diabetes mellitus (2). CT and MRI are precise techniques available to measure body fat distribution and body composition and to diagnose obesity at the earliest. Anthropometric measures are the easy and practical

Dr. Manjunatha R. Aithala, Professor and Head, Department of Physiology, Shri B. M. Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, BLDE University, Bijapur, Karnataka, Email: manjunathaithala@gmail.com

methods to diagnose obesity. (5). Therefore in the present study we have relied on anthropometric parameters like BMI, WHR and WHtR to show correlation between obesity and cardiovascular risk factors. WHR, BMI and WHtR are the important variables of obesity. BMI provides the most useful population level measure of obesity, despite of its being crude measure (6). A recent pilot study indicates that cut-points derived from waist to height ratio (WHtR) is more accurate measurement of central obesity than WC (7).

SBP, DBP, HDL and fasting glucose are primary cardiovascular risk factors (8). We restricted our study population to women because obesity is more common in women than in men and the parallel risk of cardiovascular diseases is higher in obese women than in obese men (9).

The reasons for these differences are probably biological & related to more ability of men to deposit excess lean tissue than fat tissue (10).

In Indians, studies have reported the risk levels of various adiposity indices but none have measured all indices in the middle aged women to assess the relative risk of cardiovascular diseases. Therefore, aim of present study was to assess which anthropometric adiposity measures have the strongest association with Cardiovascular disease risk factors in middle aged south Indian women.

## Materials & Methods

This is a comparative and cross sectional study assessing relation between anthropometry parameters and cardiovascular disease risk factors in middle aged women. The study was conducted in the Department of Physiology Shri B M Patil Medical College, from October to December 2013. Ethical clearance was obtained from institution. After explaining details of the study, Informed consent was obtained from each of the subject. 136 (Normal weight = 70, study group= 66) apparently healthy women of age group 40-55 years who were attending the OPD of Shri BM Patil Medical College, Bijapur were randomly selected for the study. Depending upon body mass index these subjects were divided into three groups: Normal weight (Group1) BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, Overweight (Group2) – 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> – 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, Obese (Group3) - BMI  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (11). Each group was further divided into premenopausal and postmenopausal women depending upon attainment of menopause.

#### Inclusion criteria

Women with BMI between 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> –  $\leq$ 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup> aged between 40-55 years were included in the study.

#### **Exclusion** criteria

Subjects with Diabetes mellitus, any cardiovascular diseases or endocrine disorders, taking medications interfering with vascular reactivity.

All the parameters were recorded in the departmental laboratory between 8 to 10 am. Anthropometric parameters like height (cm), weight (kg), waist & hip circumferences were recorded. BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), WHR & WHtR were calculated. The BMI or Quetelet Index was conventionally calculated as weight in kg/height (in meters<sup>2</sup>) for each subject. WC was measured with a tape midway between the lowest rib & the iliac crest in the upright position, (WHO 1998). Hip circumference was measured in standing erect, feet together at the level of the greater trochanters (12). WHR was calculated as waist circumference divided by hip circumference. WHtR was calculated as waist circumference divided by height.

Evaluation of primary cardiovascular risk factors: Blood pressure of all women was recorded with mercury sphygmomanometer (DIAMOND) and a stethoscope in a sitting position (13).

For lipid profile study, 3 ml of blood was collected from each subject after overnight fasting of 12 hours. Serum values of TC, HDL, TG were measured by enzymatic method using Semi Auto analyzer (Mispa Excel Chemistry Analyser). Concentration represented in mg/dl.

Estimation of FBS was done by using medical device (Easy Gluco Glucometer).

Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 2015; 59(1)

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS. The results are expressed as Mean±SD. Comparisons between Normal weight, overweight and obese groups of premenopausal and post menopausal were carried out using One-Way ANOVA and Tukey post Hoc tests. Comparison between premenopausal and postmenopausal women within each group was done by using Students t test. P≤0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Correlation was done between BMI, WHR, WHtR and cardiovascular risk factors by Pearson's correlation. Multiple linear regression was done to predict cardiovascular risk factors from BMI, WHR, WHtR using SPSS software.

## Results

Adiposity measures and cardiovascular risk factors in premenopausal women (Table I):

Adiposity measures i.e. BMI, WHR and WHtR of premenopausal women were significantly higher in

group 2 and group 3 compared to group 1 and higher values observed in group 3 compared to group 2

No significant differences were observed for SBP and DBP among three groups. TC levels were significantly higher in group 2 compared to group 1. We observed significantly (p=0.00) lower values of HDL levels in group 3 compared to group 1. TG and FBS levels were significantly (p=0.00) higher in group 3 compared in group 1.

Adiposity measures and cardiovascular risk factors in postmenopausal women (Table II):

Adiposity measures i.e. BMI, WHR and WHtR of premenopausal women were significantly higher in group 2 and group 3 compared to group 1 and higher in group 3 compared to group 2 (p=0.00).

SBP and DBP have shown statistically non significant differences in group 2 and group 3 compared to group 1.

TABLE I: Adiposity measures and cardiovascular risk factors in premenopausal women.

(p=0.00).

| Parameters (n=58)     | Group 1 (n=25)            | Group 2 (n=15)             | Group 3 (n=18)              | P value |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| BMI kg/m <sup>2</sup> | 20.8±1.4                  | 27.05±1.8*                 | 32.1±2.2*#                  | 0.000   |
| WHR                   | 0.7±2.5×10 <sup>-2</sup>  | 0.81±5.3×10 <sup>-2*</sup> | 0.8±6.2×10 <sup>-2*#</sup>  | 0.000   |
| WHtR                  | 0.39±5.8×10 <sup>-2</sup> | 0.50±9.6×10 <sup>-2*</sup> | 0.64±6.8×10 <sup>-2*#</sup> | 0.000   |
| SBP mmHa              | 123±6.8                   | 122±11.9                   | 121±15                      | 0.873   |
| DBP mmHa              | 79±4.6                    | 77±5.9                     | 79.4±10.6                   | 0.748   |
| TC mg/dl              | 164±28                    | 193±34*                    | 186±37                      | 0.017   |
| HDL ma/dl             | 48.9±10                   | 4 2.5±8.5                  | 39.3±5.9*                   | 0.003   |
| TG mg/dl              | 110±26                    | 147±68                     | 188±79*                     | 0.000   |
| FBS mg/dl             | 95.3±20                   | 122.9±57                   | 131.3±36.1*                 | 0.007   |

Data presented are Mean±SD. Analysis of data was done by one-way ANOVA and post-hoc by Hochberg test. The \* depicts comparison with Group 1 and the # depicts comparison with Group 2. \*P<0.05; #P<0.05. Group 1 (Normal weight), Group 2 (Overweight), Group 3 (Obese).

| TABLE II: Adip | osity measures | and | cardiovascular | risk | factors | in | postmenopausal | women |
|----------------|----------------|-----|----------------|------|---------|----|----------------|-------|
|----------------|----------------|-----|----------------|------|---------|----|----------------|-------|

| Parameters (n=78) | Group 1 (n=27)            | Group 2 (n=26)              | Group 3 (n=25)              | P value |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| BMI               | 21.2±1.4                  | 26.7±1.8*                   | 34.3±3.4*#                  | 0.000   |
| WHR               | 0.77±2.3×10 <sup>-2</sup> | 0.8±4.1×10 <sup>-2*</sup>   | 0.86±5.6×10 <sup>-2*#</sup> | 0.000   |
| WHtR              | 0.37±3.5×10 <sup>-2</sup> | 0.48±8.9×10 <sup>-2</sup> * | 0.64±6.4×10 <sup>-2*#</sup> | 0.000   |
| SBP               | 122±8.8                   | 121±11.3                    | 129±12.7#                   | 0.030   |
| DBP               | 79.7±8.07                 | 81.6±8.17                   | 79±8.3                      | 0.508   |
| TC                | $163.9 \pm 44.8$          | 181.1±50*                   | 190±37                      | 0.104   |
| HDL               | 43.5±6.7                  | 40.3±9.8                    | 38.1±4.8*                   | 0.035   |
| TG                | 117±31                    | 171±97.6                    | 197.7±83*                   | 0.001   |
| FBS               | 111.1±21.6                | 128.8±40                    | 170±57*                     | 0.000   |

Data presented are Mean±SD. Analysis of data was done by one-way ANOVA and post-hoc by Hochberg test. The \* depicts comparison with Group 1 and the # depicts comparison with Group 2. \*P<0.05; # P<0.05. Group 1 (Normal weight), Group 2 (Overweight), Group 3 (Obese).

Cardiovascular risk parameters such as TG and FBS levels were significantly higher (p=0.00) in group 3 compared to group 1. TC levels were significantly higher (p=0.00) in group 2 compared to group 1. HDL levels were statistically lower (p=0.00) in group 3 compared to group 1

# Comparison between premenopausal and postmenopausal women in different groups (Table III, IV, V):

We observed non significant values in premenopausal and postmenopausal women of group 1, group 2 and group 3 except for FBS, DBP and HDL. Levels of FBS was significantly higher in postmenopausal women compared to premenopausal women of group 1 and group 3 (\*P<0.05) (Table III & V). DBP and FBS levels were significantly higher in

 TABLE III : Comparison between premenopausal and postmenopausal women of group 1 (Normal weight).

| Parameters            | Premenopausal | Postmenopausal | P value |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| BMI kg/m <sup>2</sup> | 21.6±2.09     | 22.4±2.11      | 0.88    |
| WHR                   | 0.77±0.02     | 0.78±0.12      | 0.17    |
| WHtR                  | 0.39±0.05     | 0.38±0.0 7     | 0.13    |
| SBP mmHg              | 122±6.9       | 123±21         | 0.88    |
| DBP mmHg              | 79±4.8        | 80±6.8         | 0.37    |
| TC mg/dl              | 169±34        | 167±55         | 0.8     |
| HDL mg/dl             | 37±4.4        | $39 \pm 8.9$   | 0.2     |
| TG mg/dl              | 113±26        | 122±37         | 0.25    |
| FBS mg/dl             | 93±19.26      | 107±27.98      | 0.007*  |

Values are expressed as (Mean±SD). \*P<0.05 significant.

 TABLE IV : Comparison between premenopausal and postmenopausal women of group 2 (Overweight women).

| Parameters            | Premenopausal | Postmenopausal | P value |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| BMI kg/m <sup>2</sup> | 28.5±1        | 27.96±1.15     | 0.29    |
| WHR                   | 0.81±0.06     | 0.83±0.05      | 0.26    |
| WHtR                  | 0.57±0.02     | 0.55±0.02      | 0.17    |
| SBP mmHg              | 117±13.5      | 124±13.5       | 0.2     |
| DBP mmHg              | 75.6±6.1      | 83±7.6         | 0.02*   |
| TC mg/dl              | 190±20.12     | 189±36.5       | 0.97    |
| HDL mg/dl             | 48.5±11.5     | 47.6±10.1      | 0.001*  |
| TG mg/dl              | 153±72.5      | 232±107.5      | 0.1     |
| FBS mg/dl             | 154±58.8      | 147±46.8       | 0.69    |

Values are expressed as (Mean±SD). \*P<0.05 significant.

| TABLE V: | Compar | ison | betwe | en | premen | opausal and | d postmenopaus | al |
|----------|--------|------|-------|----|--------|-------------|----------------|----|
|          | women  | of   | group | 3  | (Obese | Women).     |                |    |

| Parameters            | Premenopausal | Postmenopausal  | P value |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|
| BMI kg/m <sup>2</sup> | 32±2.2        | 34±7.1          | 0.1     |
| WHR                   | 0.84±0.06     | 0.86±0.17       | 0.19    |
| WHtR                  | 0.64±0.06     | $0.64 \pm 0.14$ | 0.79    |
| SBP mmHg              | 121±15        | 129±14          | 0.06    |
| DBP mmHg              | 79±10.6       | 70±9.2          | 0.89    |
| TC mg/dl              | 186±37        | 190±37.7        | 0.77    |
| HDL mg/dl             | 46.5±9.2      | 44±13.2         | 0.48    |
| TG mg/dl              | 188±79        | 197±81          | 0.71    |
| FBS mg/dl             | 131±36        | 170±65          | 0.009*  |
|                       |               |                 |         |

Values are expressed as (Mean±SD). \*P<0.05 significant.

postmenopausal compared to premenopausal women of group 2 (\*P<0.05) (Table IV).

## Correlation between adiposity measures and cardiovascular risk factors (Table VI):

The respective correlations between the anthropometric measures and SBP, DBP, TC, TG, HDL and FBS have been given in Table II. BMI, WHR and WHtR have showed significant positive correlations with SBP, TC, TG and FBS and significant negative correlations with HDL.

Multiple Linear Regression using BMI, WHR and WHtR as predictors for cardiovascular risk factors (Table VII):

Shows that the independent variables BMI, WHR, WHtR statistically significantly predict the cardiovascular risk factors and the overall regression model is a good fit for the data.

TABLE VII: Multiple Linear Regression using BMI, WHR and WHtR as predictors for cardiovascular risk factors.

| Parameters | R²    | F      | Significance |
|------------|-------|--------|--------------|
| тс         | 0.088 | 4.271  | 0.006        |
| TG         | 0.241 | 13.977 | 0.000        |
| HDL        | 0.162 | 8.509  | 0.000        |
| FBS        | 0.338 | 22.484 | 0.000        |
| SBP        | 0.073 | 3.446  | 0.019        |
| DBP        | 0.009 | 0.384  | 0.764        |

TABLE VI: Correlation between adiposity measures and cardiovascular risk factors.

| Parameters | SBP        | DBP        | ТС               | TG               | HDL               | FBS         |
|------------|------------|------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| BMI        | r = 0.178* | r = -0.018 | $r = 0.295^{**}$ | $r = 0.431^{**}$ | $r = -0.403^{**}$ | r = 0.573** |
| WHR        | r = 0.174* | r = -0.007 | r = 0.194*       | r = 0.449^{**}   | r = -0.242^{**}   | r = 0.426** |
| WHtR       | r = 0.092  | r = -0.053 | r = 0.255**      | r = 0.407^{**}   | r = -0.365^{**}   | r = 0.533** |

Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 2015; 59(1)

## Discussion

Obesity is often defined a condition of abnormal or excessive fat accumulation in adipose tissue to the extent that health may be impaired. Obesity causes increase in blood volume and cardiac output which leads to increase in venous return and intra ventricular pressure. This leads to ventricular hypertrophy which further progress to systolic and diastolic dysfunction. Characteristically obese have increased sympathetic activity, insulin resistance, abnormal lipid levels and endothelial dysfunction (14).

PL Torng et al reported obesity in women has been found to be strongly associated with elevated levels of TC, LDL-C and TG and lowered HDL-C. This correlation was strongest in women 35-54 years of age. He found significant association between BMI and TC, HDL-C, TG and LDL-C which was similarly observed in our study (15).

Ali Chehrei et al did not show any statistical relationship between WHtR and HDL. In contrast, our study has shown significant negative correlations between BMI, WHR, WHtR to HDL. Although the difference between the different measures is not great in most cases, BMI has showed the strongest negative correlations with HDL (2).

Mirel Arruda et al reported in their study that there was no correlation between anthropometric variables and DBP levels which was similarly observed in our study. On the contrary a significant but slight correlation was observed between BMI and WHR and SBP levels among females of the present study. He found no correlation between FBS to any anthropometric variables which is contrast to our study result. We found BMI, WHR and WHTR have significant positive correlations with FBS (16).

Prabhadeep Kaur et al reported in their study, WHR is the best predictor of type 2 diabetes and should be used addition to BMI for detecting high risk groups. BMI continues to be useful index for predicting hypertension (17).

SB Van et al analyzed, the weakest correlate to all

Anthropometric Adiposity Measures and Cardiovascular Disease 61

the CVD risk factors was WHtR, significantly differing from other adiposity measures for SBP, DBP, HDL, TC and FBS (8). Especially when WHR and WHtR latter holds significantly better correlations to CVD risk factors in our study.

In our study we tried to investigate which anthropometric measure had the strongest association with cardiovascular disease risk factors in middle aged women without a history of cardiovascular disease. We observed that BMI, WHR and WHtR together have shown to predict cardiovascular risk factors in middle aged women. They are the easy and practical methods to diagnose obesity and together can be used as simple measures to predict cardiovascular risk factors in middle aged women.

Regression equation to predict dependant variable (cardiovascular risk factors as SBP, DBP, TC, TG, HDL& FBS) from independent variables (BMI, WHR & WHtR) are as follows,

SBP = 94.02 + (BMI x 1.019) + (WHR x 27.262) - (WHtR x 39.50)

TC = 104.905 + (BMI x 2.61) + (WHR x 21.55) (WHtR x 27.35)

 $TG = -256.05 + (BMI \times 3.43) + (WHR \times 393.55)$ (WHtR x 0.86)

HDL = 58.72 (BMI x 0.64) + (WHR x 0.71) + (WHtR x 0.49)

FBS = -62.35 + (BMI x 3.89) + (WHR x 27.26) (WHtR x 39.50)

We observed no significant difference in cardiovascular risk factors in premenopausal and postmenopausal women of all three groups except for FBS, DBP and HDL. Hence the reasons responsible for increase in cardiovascular risk among middle aged women are multifactorial and complex interrelated. Hence the study demonstrates that both pre and post menopausal middle aged obese women with higher BMI, WHR and WHtR have more chances of having cardiovascular diseases.

#### Conclusion

Both pre and post menopausal middle aged obese women with higher BMI, WHR and WHtR have more chances of having cardiovascular

### References

- 1. Peter G Kopelman. Obesity as a medical problem. *Nature* 2000; Vol 404: 635–643.
- Ali Chehrei MD, Saeid Sadrnia MD, Ammar Hassanzadeh Keshteli MS, Mohammad Ali Daneshmand MD, Jalal Rezaei MD. Correlation of dyslipidemia with waist to height ratio, waist circumference, and body mass index in Iranian adults Asia. *Pac J Clin Nutr* 2007; 16(2): 248–253.
- Yogesh Saxena, Vartika Saxena, Jyoti Dvivedi, RK Sharma. Evaluation of dynamic function test in normal obese individuals. *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol* 2008; 52(4): 375–382.
- NK Mungeriphy, Satwanti Kapoor, Rashmi Sinha. Association between BMI, Blood Pressure, and Age: Study among Tangkhul Naga Tribal Males of Northeast India. *Journal of Atheroplasty* 2011.
- Kaushik Bose, Arnab Ghosh, Sabyasachi Roy, Somnath Gangopadhyayet al. Blood Pressure and Waist Circumference: An Empirical Study of the Effects of Waist Circumference on Blood Pressure among Bengalee Male Jute Mill Workers of Belur, West Bengal, India. J Physiol Anthropol Appl Human Sci 2003; 22: 169–173.
- Pallavi S Kanthe, Bheemshetty S Patil, Shrilaxmi Bagali, Anita Deshpande, Gouhar Banu Shaikh, Manjunatha Aithala. Atherogenic Index as a Predictor of Cardiovascular Risk among Women with Different Grades of Obesity. International Journal of Collaborative Research on Internal Medicine & Public Health 2012; 4(10): 1767–1774.
- Margaret Ashwell, Shiun Dong Hsieh. Six reasons why the waist-to-height ratio is a rapid and effective global indicator for health risks of obesity and how its use could simplify the international public health message on obesity. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition 2005; 56(5): 303–307.
- SB van Dijk, T Takken, EC Prinsen, H Wittink. Different anthropometric adiposity measures and their association with cardiovascular disease risk factors: a meta-analysis. Neth *Heart J* 2012; 20: 208–218.
- Linda R Peterson, Pilar Herrero, Kenneth B, et al. Effect of Obesity and Insulin Resistance on Myocardial Substrate Metabolism and Efficiency in Young Women. *Circulation*

2004; 109: 2191-2196.

- Sargun Singh and Kawaljit Kaur. Association of age with obesity related variables and blood pressure among women. Annals of Biological Research 2012; 3(7): 3633– 3637.
- 11. M Dalton, AJ Cameron, PZ Zimmetet al. Waist circumference, waisthip ratio and body mass index and their correlation with cardiovascular disease risk factors in Australian adults. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2003; 254: 555–563.
- 12. Sanya AO, Ogwumike OO, Ige AP, Ayanniyi OA, et al. Relationship of Waist-Hip Ratio and Body Mass Index to Blood Pressure of Individuals in Ibadan North Local Government. African Journal of Physiotherapy & Rehabilitation Sciences 2009; 1(1); 7-11.
- 13. Shrilaxmi Chandrashekar Bagali, Jyoti Paramanand Khodnapur, Lata Mallappa Mullur, Gouhar Banu U Sheikh, Manjunatha Ramakrishna Aithala. Aging and gender effects on rate-pressure product: an index of myocardial oxygen consumption. *International Journal of Biomedical and Advanced Research*, Vol. 3, No. 3 2012; 175–178.
- Kanavi RS, Smilee Johncy S, Mallikarjuna PT, Vedavathi KJ, Mary Prem Jayarajan. Correlation between body mass index and cardiovascular parameters in obese and nonobese in different age group. *Int J Biol Med Res* 2011; 2(2): 551–555.
- 15. Torng PL, Ta-Chen Su, Fung C Sung. Effect of menopause and obesity on lipid profiles in middle-aged Taiwanese women: the Chin-Shan Community Cardiovascular Cohort Study. *Atherosclerosis* 2000; 153: 413–421.
- Mirele Arruda Michelotto de Oliveira, Regina Lúcia Martins Fagundes, Emília Addison Machado Moreira, Erasmo Benício Santos de Moraes Trindade, Tales de Carvalho. Relation between Anthropometric Indicators and Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease. Arq Bras Cardiol 2010; 94(4): 451–457.
- 17. Prabhdeep Kaur, Ezhil Radhakrishnan, Suresh Sankarasubbaiyan, et al. A comparison of anthropometric indices for predicting hypertension and type 2 diabetes in a male industrial population of Chennai, South India. *Ethnicity & Disease*. Vol. 18, Winter 2008.

diseases. BMI, WHR and WHtR are the easy and practical methods to diagnose obesity and together can be used as simple measures to predict cardiovascular risk factors in middle aged women.